

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	RY (CI)	
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	:	
AIRS ID#: 0150085 DA	ATE: <u>3/23/07</u>	ARRIVE: 8:00 a.m.	DEPART: <u>11:30 a.m.</u>	
FACILITY NAME: PO	ORT CHARLOTTE PLANT #16	,		
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 19300 PEACHLAND B	BLVD		
	PORT CHARLOTTE	33948		
RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	CIAL: DANIEL BEATTY	PHONE	: (239)267-4275	
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE:	:	
REMITTANCE YEAR:	: ENTITL	LEMENT PERIOD: 12/15/2006 (effective date)		
	N COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch			
☐ IN COMPLIAN	ICE MINOR Non-COMI	PLIANCE SIGNIFICAN	VT Non-COMPLIANCE	
		<u>MENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A	A.C.	
(check ☑ appropria	te box(es))			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emis	ssions tests conducted during this	s site visit according to EPA Met	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter	
62-297, F.A.C.)?-				
		rs), and other enclosed storage and emissions to 5 percent opacity?	nd conveying equipment Yes No	
3. During visible em	nissions tests of the silo dust colle	lector exhaust points was the load	ding of the silo conducted	
at a rate that is rep	presentative of the normal silo lo	oading rate, or at least at the mini	mum 25 tons per hour rate,	
unless such rate is unachievable in practice?				
to this question is	s "Yes", then continue on to ques	stions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answ	wer is "No" then	
a) Was the batch	and continue on to question 5.,- ing operation in operation during	g the visible emissions test?		
b) During the vis	sible emissions test, was the batcl	ching rate representative of the no	ormal batching rate and	
		eration are controlled by a dust co	Yes No	
from the silo dust	t collector, are the visible emission	ons tests of the weigh hopper (bar		
Conducted	Jaconing at a rate and to 1-1-1-	tative of the normal sustained.	o and duration.	

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)				
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the visible emissions limiting standard emissions limiting standar	ha			
annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)				
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate:				
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?	□Yes □ No			
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	n - □Yes □ No			
Submittal date:	. [168 [140			
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?				
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?				
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
	e 🗌			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, 	ing			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	Yes			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ing			

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)					
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching pla	nt take reasonable precautions to control unconfined				
emissions by:					
	and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:				
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes No					
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control					
emissions?					
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to					
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? \(\subseteq Yes \) \(\subseteq No \)					
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of					
particulate matter from stock piles? 🖂 Yes 🔲					
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mi	tigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes No				
DADTIVE SDECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE	EC Dula 62 210 200(A)(d)A E A C				
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURI</u> A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	<u> </u>				
A. New or Mounted Process Equipment					
1 Since the last inspection has there been					
1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?					
b) alterations to existing process equipment without	out replacement?				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?					
d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete					
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or local program office? \bigsim Yes					
local program office?	\(\times Yes \) No				
Sherrill Culliver	3/23/07				
Sherrin Cuniver	3123101				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	v				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
COMMENTS: Three new baghouses were tested. The west	t most baghouse leaked but was repaired on site. The leak occurred at				
the end of the run.					